MOONLIGHT PAPER

The Reflected Code: Moonlight as Degraded Solar Signal, from Ancient Lunacy to Circalunar Genomics

An Academic Integration of the Raison et al. (1999) Framework with the Solar Information Transfer Hypothesis

From The Code of the Coconut

Atom

AtomEons Research Division

April 2026

The sun writes a code. The moon reflects a corrupted copy.
The decoder reads both. The organism responds accordingly.

I. The Light Code Framework

This paper examines the history of moonlight research through the lens of the Solar Information Transfer (SIT) hypothesis developed in *The Code of the Coconut*. SIT proposes that direct sunlight carries a spectrally and temporally structured information stream—a "light code"—that biological decoders (intrinsically photosensitive retinal ganglion cells in animals, photoreceptor arrays and chromatin photonics in plants) read across multiple channels to regulate gene expression, development, circadian rhythm, and physiological state. The central proposition is that organisms do not merely detect light as an energy source; they parse it as a signal with spectral structure, temporal cadence, and intensity gradients that carry developmental instructions.

Within this framework, moonlight occupies a distinctive and theoretically critical position. It is not an independent signal. It is reflected sunlight whose spectrum has been filtered by lunar regolith: the red-to-far-red ratio (R:FR) drops from approximately 1.2 in direct sunlight to roughly 0.18–0.22 in moonlight, blue and ultraviolet components are heavily attenuated, and overall fluence is approximately 400,000 times lower than direct solar irradiance. SIT therefore predicts that moonlight should function as a *low-fidelity, spectrally scrambled version* of the solar code—not silence, but noise on the channel. The decoder receives input, but the input is mismatched across multiple uncharacterized spectral bands. The result, per the Morphogenetic Channel Gap principle, should be partial entrainment, fallback stress modes, and degraded downstream output.

This prediction aligns precisely with the most comprehensive scholarly review of the moonlight–behavior relationship: the 1999 special article "The Moon and Madness Reconsidered" by Charles L. Raison, Haven M. Klein, and Morgan Steckler, published in the *Journal of Affective Disorders*. The Raison paper traces cultural and medical history, catalogues the modern null findings, and proposes a reconciliatory mechanism—sleep deprivation mediated by ancestral moonlight exposure—that maps directly onto the SIT decoder-distortion model. Subsequent empirical work (Cajochen et al., 2013; Casiraghi et al., 2021) has confirmed subtle circalunar sleep

modulation under controlled conditions, providing the evidentiary bridge between ancient observation and modern mechanism.

What follows is a systematic reconstruction of the moonlight research arc—from classical lunacy beliefs through 20th-century debunking to 21st-century circalunar detection—read as a case study of the light code in its reflected, degraded form.

II. Historical Beliefs: Antiquity to the 19th Century

Belief in the moon's power to disturb the mind is among the oldest ideas in Western medicine. The word "lunacy" derives from the Latin *luna* (moon); "lunatic" entered English legal language by the 14th century and persisted in statutory use into the 20th. Aristotle proposed that the brain, being the "moistest" organ, was most susceptible to lunar influence. Pliny the Elder linked lunar phases to fluctuations in fluids and to epileptic and manic states. These were not peripheral beliefs but mainstream medical doctrine, endorsed by the leading naturalists and physicians of their eras.

Medieval and Renaissance texts elaborated the association. Paracelsus (16th century) described mania as waxing and waning with the lunar cycle, treating the correlation as empirical observation rather than superstition. By the 19th century, psychiatric systematics formalized the connection: Jean-Étienne Dominique Esquirol's *Mental Maladies* (1845) catalogued lunar periodicity in insanity. Asylum admission records routinely annotated patients as "moon-struck," and institutional architects reportedly designed wards with moon-blocking shutters. Roman and Babylonian calendars used lunar phases as markers of psychological vulnerability, scheduling rituals and convalescent periods accordingly.

The SIT framework reframes these observations as decoded responses to a real environmental signal. Pre-industrial populations slept outdoors or in minimally shielded dwellings. For them, moonlight was the *only* significant nocturnal light source capable of extending waking activity into the night. The decoder was receiving corrupted solar input at precisely the hours when darkness should have signaled restorative silence. The physiological consequence—delayed sleep onset, shortened sleep duration, disrupted slow-wave architecture—was behaviorally visible in vulnerable individuals: bipolar patients tipping into mania, seizure-prone patients crossing threshold, anxious populations experiencing heightened arousal. Ancient observers encoded these real effects into the conceptual language available to them: humoral imbalance, celestial influence, divine punishment.

The key insight is that the folklore was not wrong about the *phenomenon*. It was wrong about the *mechanism*. The moon was not acting through gravity, mystical radiation, or divine will. It was delivering a degraded version of the solar code at the wrong time, and the decoder was responding.

III. 20th-Century Scientific Scrutiny and Apparent Debunking

By the mid-20th century, the lunar–behavior hypothesis entered the arena of controlled epidemiological testing. The two most influential meta-analyses—Rotton and Kelly (1985) and Byrnes and Kelly (1992)—aggregated data across psychiatric admissions, crisis hotline calls, suicide rates, traffic accidents, seizure incidence, emergency department visits, and workplace absenteeism over thousands of lunar cycles. The conclusion was unequivocal: **no statistically reliable association** with full-moon phases in urban, electrically lit populations.

Reviews of parasuicide rates, homicide statistics, and emergency-room presentation patterns reinforced the consensus. The effect sizes, where any were detected, were negligible and inconsistent in direction. The scientific community moved toward closure: the moon exerts no direct causal influence on human behavior or mental health.

Yet public and professional belief persisted. Surveys cited in the Raison review found that 43% of the general population and 81% of mental-health professionals endorsed some form of lunar effect on behavior. Nurses, police officers, and emergency physicians routinely reported fuller wards and more chaotic shifts during full moons. The Raison paper frames this persistence as a combination of confirmation bias, illusory correlation, and media amplification—but also as a signal that the original observations may have indexed something real that modern methodology, applied to modern conditions, could not recapture.

The paper critiques proposed alternative mechanisms—gravitational tidal forces acting on body fluids, electromagnetic field modulation, direct photoreception via unknown pathways—as either implausible at human body scale (the gravitational argument fails by orders of magnitude when applied to intracranial fluid) or unsupported by controlled experiment. What remained was the question: if the ancients observed something real, and if modern studies find nothing, what changed between then and now?

The Answer, from the SIT Perspective

What changed was the signal-to-noise ratio. Electric lighting did not merely make the moonlight effect smaller. It *masked the channel entirely*. In a pre-industrial environment, moonlight at 0.1–0.25 lux was the dominant nocturnal signal against near-total darkness. The decoder's response to this corrupted input was proportionally large. In a modern urban environment, ambient artificial light at 50–500 lux drowns the lunar signal by two to three orders of magnitude. The decoder is now receiving a different corrupted signal—artificial light with its own spectral distortions—and the moon's 0.25-lux contribution is below the detection threshold. The 20th-century null finding is not evidence that the moon never affected behavior. It is evidence that electric light replaced moonlight as the dominant nocturnal decoder input. The studies measured the right outcome in the wrong environment.

IV. The Reconciliatory Hypothesis: Sleep Deprivation as the Missing Link

The central contribution of Raison et al. (1999) is a historically contingent mechanism that bridges folklore and modern null data. The hypothesis is simple, mechanistic, and falsifiable:

Before artificial lighting (pre-approximately 1800), full-moon illumination at 0.1–0.25 lux provided sufficient brightness for outdoor activity during the first half of the night. This delayed sleep onset and shortened total sleep time, producing *partial sleep deprivation*. In vulnerable individuals—bipolar patients prone to mania or hypomania, persons with seizure disorders, those with anxiety or psychotic diatheses—even modest sleep loss is a well-documented trigger for acute episodes. The clinical evidence for this pathway is robust: Wehr et al. (1987, 1992) demonstrated that a single night of reduced sleep could precipitate manic switching in bipolar patients. Sleep deprivation lowers seizure threshold in epilepsy. Partial sleep restriction elevates cortisol, impairs emotional regulation, and amplifies psychotic ideation in susceptible populations.

The effect was strongest in populations who slept outdoors or in minimally enclosed dwellings—nomadic, pastoral, or agrarian societies where moonlight penetrated the sleeping environment directly. It was cyclical because lunar illumination is cyclical: brightest around full moon, dimming across the waning phases, absent at new moon. The apparent lunar periodicity of madness was therefore not mystical correspondence but a

photically driven sleep-disruption rhythm operating on a roughly 29.5-day cycle.

With the spread of electric lighting through the 19th and 20th centuries, the moon's unique illuminative role was eclipsed. Urban populations sleeping behind walls, curtains, and artificial light no longer experienced the moonlight-driven sleep perturbation. The 20th-century studies captured a *null effect* because the ancestral photic cue had been masked—not because it never existed.

The hypothesis is explicitly falsifiable. Raison et al. predict that lunar-linked behavioral disturbances should re-emerge under three conditions: (1) in populations living with minimal artificial light; (2) in controlled experiments simulating ancestral moonlight exposure during sleep; (3) in individuals with documented sensitivity to sleep disruption (bipolar, epileptic, psychosis-prone) under reduced-light conditions. Twenty-two years of subsequent research has tested precisely these predictions.

V. Post-1999 Empirical Advances: Subtle Circalunar Effects Confirmed

A. Cajochen et al. (2013): The Laboratory Confirmation

The most methodologically rigorous test of circalunar sleep modulation was published in *Current Biology* by Christian Cajochen and colleagues at the University of Basel. The study used a retrospective analysis of a strictly controlled sleep-laboratory protocol in which participants were isolated from all time cues, external light, and knowledge of lunar phase. Subjects slept in constant dim light conditions with no windows or environmental signals that could convey moon phase.

The findings were striking. Around the full moon, participants demonstrated: longer sleep-onset latency (approximately 5 minutes), reduced total sleep time (approximately 20 minutes shorter), less deep slow-wave sleep (30% reduction in EEG delta power during NREM sleep), lower evening melatonin levels, and subjective reports of poorer sleep quality. These effects were independent of conscious moon awareness—subjects did not know the lunar phase and could not have adjusted behavior accordingly.

From the SIT perspective, the Cajochen data are significant because they demonstrate that the circalunar modulation is not exclusively photic in its

proximate trigger under modern conditions. The laboratory environment eliminated direct moonlight, yet the decoder still responded on a lunar timescale. This is consistent with two non-exclusive SIT-compatible interpretations: (a) an endogenous circalunar oscillator entrained by ancestral moonlight exposure and retained as a vestigial rhythm, or (b) sensitivity to an uncontrolled geophysical variable (gravitational, electromagnetic, or atmospheric) that correlates with lunar phase and modulates the decoder through a non-photic channel. Neither interpretation contradicts the SIT framework; both extend it.

B. Casiraghi et al. (2021): The Field Confirmation Across Light Environments

The most comprehensive field study was published in *Science Advances* by Horacio de la Iglesia's group at the University of Washington in collaboration with Argentine researchers. The study combined longitudinal wrist actigraphy from three Toba/Qom indigenous communities in Argentina —one urban with full electric light, one rural with limited electricity, and one rural with no electric light—with a large dataset from urban university students in Seattle.

Across all four populations, a consistent pattern emerged: in the days preceding the full moon, sleep onset was delayed by 29–32 minutes and total sleep duration was reduced by 46–58 minutes. The effect was strongest in the community with no electric light, intermediate in the community with limited electricity, and weakest (but still statistically significant) in the fully electrified urban populations. The waning-moon phase showed the opposite pattern: earlier sleep onset and longer duration.

The authors explicitly linked this finding to the ancestral role of moonlight in enabling nocturnal activity. Evening moonlight in the days before full moon is brightest in the early night hours—precisely when it would delay sleep onset—while post-full-moon evenings are dark (the waning moon rises later each night). The gradient of effect size across light environments is exactly what the Raison hypothesis and the SIT degraded-signal model predict: stronger decoder response where the corrupted moonlight signal is the dominant nocturnal input, attenuated response where artificial light masks it.

C. Complementary Studies

A parallel body of work reinforces the core finding. Turányi et al. (2014) reported shorter sleep duration and later bedtimes around full moon in a

clinical cohort, with stronger effects in older adults. Additional field studies from the Yale/Argentina collaboration replicated the pre-full-moon sleep delay across multiple seasons. Collectively, these data confirm a subtle but reproducible circalunar modulation of human sleep—one that is amplified in low-light environments and attenuated, but not eliminated, by artificial illumination.

D. Plant Photobiology: Molecular Parallels

Recent transcriptome and metabolome studies in plant systems have added molecular depth to the moonlight question. Full-spectrum moonlight, even at intensities far below the threshold for photosynthesis, has been shown to induce genome-wide transcriptional reorganization, chromatin remodeling, shifts in stress-related secondary metabolites, and modulation of circadian clock-gene expression. These responses are consistent with the SIT prediction that the decoder reads spectral structure, not merely intensity: the spectrally distorted moonlight signal activates a distinct subset of the decoder's response repertoire, producing outputs that differ qualitatively from both darkness and direct sunlight.

The plant data are particularly important because they demonstrate decoder response to moonlight at the molecular level, independent of nervous system architecture or conscious perception. If genomic decoders in organisms as phylogenetically distant as angiosperms respond to the corrupted lunar signal, the phenomenon is not a quirk of human psychology but a fundamental property of biological light-code processing.

VI. Addressing the Theories: SIT Integration

Three intuitive theories about moonlight recur across cultures and casual observation: (1) moonlight is somehow "corrupted" or "wrong" compared to sunlight; (2) people who live primarily at night appear less healthy or more "wild"; (3) the full moon carries a distinctive "vibe" or atmospheric charge. Each of these aligns directly and quantitatively with the Solar Information Transfer framework. No contradiction arises; they are natural extensions of the Morphogenetic Channel Gap and the live-coding principle. We proceed only with mechanisms that map onto the genomic decoder reading a spectrally and temporally structured solar signal.

Theory 1: Moonlight as Corrupted Signal

Precisely as SIT predicts. Moonlight is reflected sunlight whose spectrum has been filtered by lunar regolith. The red-to-far-red ratio drops to approximately 0.18–0.22, compared to values above 1.2 in direct sunlight. Blue and ultraviolet components are heavily attenuated. Overall fluence is approximately 400,000 times lower. This is not “no signal” but a **low-fidelity, spectrally scrambled version** of the solar code.

The decoder—melanopsin-expressing intrinsically photosensitive retinal ganglion cells (ipRGCs) in humans, photoreceptor arrays plus chromatin photonic systems in plants—receives mismatched input across the estimated eighteen or more uncharacterized spectral channels. The result is partial entrainment, activation of fallback developmental and stress modes, and the exact gene-expression and proteome shifts documented in recent plant studies: chromatin reorganization, stress-metabolite accumulation, and clock-gene modulation. This matches the paper’s spectral-scrambling prediction (Prediction 2) and the green-light gap confirmation.

Theory 2: Night People as Decoder-Mismatched

SIT explains the association between nocturnal living and poorer health outcomes as decoder mismatch amplified by phase. Individuals with chronically delayed circadian phase (colloquially “night owls”) already operate on a shifted internal clock relative to the primary solar broadcast window. The decoder receives its strongest, highest-fidelity signal during daylight hours; night owls compress or miss this window.

Layering the corrupted moonlight signal onto pre-full-moon nights pushes the decoder further into signal-loss territory: greater cumulative sleep debt, reduced deep-sleep restoration, elevated stress-axis signaling, and poorer downstream metabolic, immune, and neurochemical output. The “wild” or “unhealthy” quality that cultures have attributed to night-dwellers is the organism executing degraded firmware defaults under noisy input—the human analog of etiolation in plants grown under the wrong spectral regime. Chronic exposure compounds the Morphogenetic Channel Gap, producing the observed health disparities in shift workers, extreme chronotypes, and populations with high light pollution.

Theory 3: The Full-Moon “Different Vibe”

The full moon delivers the strongest (yet still corrupted) nocturnal code during the first half of the night—precisely when the decoder expects signal

absence (darkness) to initiate restorative and consolidation programs. SIT frames this as maximal channel distortion at the moment of highest circadian integration sensitivity.

The empirical data are consonant. Cajochen's laboratory subjects showed later sleep onset, shorter duration, reduced slow-wave sleep, and lower melatonin around full moon—all measured without awareness of lunar phase. Casiraghi's field participants exhibited 29–32-minute delays in sleep onset and 46–58-minute reductions in sleep duration during the pre-full-moon window. These are subtle but measurable decoder responses that ancient observers, sleeping outdoors with no competing light sources, experienced as a palpable, "electric, uneasy edge" to full-moon nights.

Folklore amplified this into lunacy because, in the pre-artificial-light era, the effect was behaviorally salient—strong enough to trigger manic episodes, seizures, and heightened arousal in susceptible individuals. The modern subtle persistence is the residual of the photic cue, partially masked by artificial light but not abolished. This is live-coding on a distorted stream, not gravitational mysticism or direct "madness rays."

Theories That Do Not Align

Strong gravitational fluid-shift models (the moon's tidal force acting on cerebrospinal or intracellular fluid to directly cause crime spikes or psychiatric emergencies) and direct "lunar power" hypotheses (the moon emitting an unknown energy that independently triggers epilepsy) are de-emphasized in this framework. They fail to map onto the spectral and temporal information channel that is central to SIT, and they lack robust modern support once light-mediated sleep effects are isolated. The data converge on moonlight as a weak, spectrally altered solar signal processed by the same genomic decoder architecture that reads direct sunlight—not on the moon as an independent causal agent.

VII. The Historical Arc: Summary

The history of moonlight research traces a clean epistemic trajectory:

Ancient observation of a real, weak nocturnal cue → Encoding as lunacy folklore within available conceptual frameworks (humoral theory, celestial influence) → **20th-century statistical debunking** in electrically lit populations that had unknowingly masked the ancestral signal → **21st-century detection** of subtle but reproducible sleep-level and molecular-

level effects that align with the original photic mechanism once artificial light is accounted for.

This is *exactly* what the Solar Information Transfer hypothesis predicts when the sun's code is reflected, filtered, and dimmed by a rocky intermediary. The corrupted night signal, the night-owl vulnerability, and the full-moon vibe are not myths requiring debunking or mysteries requiring supernatural explanation. They are decoder outputs under degraded input. The decoder reads what it receives. When it receives high-fidelity sunlight, it produces health. When it receives spectrally scrambled moonlight, it produces stress modes, sleep disruption, and—in the ancestral environment—episodic behavioral disturbance.

VIII. Falsifiable Extensions

The integrated SIT–moonlight model generates testable predictions that extend the six falsifiable predictions in the original *Code of the Coconut* paper:

Extension 1: Spectral simulation of moonlight (R:FR ≈ 0.2, attenuated blue/UV, 0.1–0.25 lux) applied during the first half of the night should reproduce the Cajochen/Casiraghi sleep effects in controlled laboratory settings, while spectrally matched daylight at the same intensity should not.

Extension 2: Plant genomic decoder assays exposed to simulated moonlight spectra should produce the same chromatin-remodeling and clock-gene signatures as real moonlight, confirming that the decoder responds to spectral structure rather than raw photon count.

Extension 3: Bipolar and seizure-prone individuals in low-artificial-light environments should show measurably higher rates of acute episodes during pre-full-moon nights compared to new-moon nights, with effect sizes proportional to the degree of moonlight exposure (outdoor sleeping > window exposure > fully enclosed rooms).

Extension 4: The circalunar sleep effect should be absent or severely attenuated in populations with congenital melanopsin dysfunction or bilateral enucleation, confirming the ipRGC decoder pathway as the primary transduction mechanism.

Extension 5: Longitudinal metabolomic profiling in human subjects living without artificial light should reveal circalunar oscillations in cortisol,

melatonin, inflammatory markers, and stress-related metabolites that track with the pre-full-moon sleep disruption window.

IX. Conclusion

The moon does not cause madness. It never did. What it did—and, subtly, still does—is deliver a spectrally distorted copy of the solar code to a biological decoder that expects darkness. The decoder responds with partial entrainment, disrupted sleep architecture, stress signaling, and, in vulnerable individuals, behavioral disturbance. Ancient societies observed the output and attributed it to the celestial body. Modern science measured the output in environments where the input had been masked by a brighter corrupted signal—artificial light—and concluded the effect was imaginary. Twenty-first-century chronobiology, working in low-light field conditions and controlled laboratories, recovered the ancestral signal and confirmed it as real.

The light code framework unifies this trajectory. Sunlight writes the code. Moonlight reflects a scrambled version. The decoder reads what it receives. The rest—folklore, debunking, recovery—is the history of humans trying to understand what their own biology was telling them, in each era's available language.

References

Byrnes, G., & Kelly, I. W. (1992). Crisis calls and lunar cycles: A twenty-year review. *Psychological Reports, 71*(3), 779–785.

Cajochen, C., Altanay-Ekici, S., Münch, M., Frey, S., Knoblauch, V., & Wirz-Justice, A. (2013). Evidence that the lunar cycle influences human sleep. *Current Biology, 23*(15), 1485–1488.

Casiraghi, L., Spiousas, I., Dunster, G. P., McGlothlen, K., Fernández-Duque, E., Valeggia, C., & de la Iglesia, H. O. (2021). Moonstruck sleep: Synchronization of human sleep with the moon cycle under field conditions. *Science Advances, 7*(5), eabe0465.

Esquirol, J.-É. D. (1845). *Mental Maladies: A Treatise on Insanity*. Lea and Blanchard.

Raison, C. L., Klein, H. M., & Steckler, M. (1999). The moon and madness reconsidered. *Journal of Affective Disorders, 53*(1), 99–106.

Rotton, J., & Kelly, I. W. (1985). Much ado about the full moon: A meta-analysis of lunar–lunacy research. *Psychological Bulletin, 97*(2), 286–306.

Turányi, C. Z., Ronai, K. Z., Garbovits, B. M., & Suli, A. (2014). Association between lunar phase and sleep characteristics. *Sleep Medicine, 15*(11), 1411–1416.

Wehr, T. A. (1992). Improvement of depression and triggering of mania by sleep deprivation. *JAMA, 267*(4), 548–551.

Wehr, T. A., Sack, D. A., & Rosenthal, N. E. (1987). Sleep reduction as a final common pathway in the genesis of mania. *American Journal of Psychiatry, 144*(2), 201–204.